

**LARVICIDAL EFFICACY OF THE CRUDE LEAF EXTRACTS OF
EUCALYPTUS CAMALDULENSIS DEHN (MYRTALES: MYRTACEAE)
AGAINST THE MOSQUITO LARVAE OF *CULEX QUINQUEFASCIATUS*
SAY (DIPTERA: CULICIDAE)**

HUMAYUN REZA KHAN*, NIGAR SULTANA AND PROVA SHARMIN

Department of Zoology, University of Dhaka, Dhaka-1000, Bangladesh

Key words: Eucalyptus camaldulensis, Cx. quinquefasciatus, Larvicidal efficacy

Abstract

Eucalyptus camaldulensis was assayed to evaluate the larvicidal efficacy of its leaf extracts against the 3rd instar larvae of *Culex quinquefasciatus* at five dose concentrations (viz. 0.25, 0.50, 0.75, 1.0, 1.25, 1.5, 2.0, 2.5 and 3.0) in five solvents (viz. chloroform, ethanol, di-chloromethane, acetone and water) based extracts after 24 hrs exposure. Among all the extracts the shade dried chloroform based leaf extracts showed the highest larvicidal efficacy (viz. 42.67, 56.67, 68.0, 92.67 and 100%) at low dose concentrations of 0.25, 0.50, 0.75, 1.0 and 1.25, respectively while the sun dried water based leaf extracts showed the lowest larvicidal efficacy (viz. 21.33, 30.67, 48.67, 58.0 and 74.0%) at high dose concentrations of 1.0, 1.5, 2.0, 2.5 and 3.0, respectively among the ten different experimental conditions. The relative potency of ten types of crude leaf extracts of *E. camaldulensis* against the mosquito larvae are shown as follows in decreasing order on the basis of LC₅₀ value : Shade dried chloroform based leaf extract (0.356 mg/ml) > sun dried chloroform based leaf extract (0.400 mg/ml) > shade dried di-chloromethane based leaf extract (0.411 mg/ml) > sun dried di-chloromethane based leaf extract (0.579 mg/ml) > shade dried ethanol based leaf extract (0.736 mg/ml) > sun dried ethanol based leaf extract (0.817 mg/ml) > shade dried acetone based leaf extract (1.000 mg/ml) > sun dried acetone based leaf extract (1.251 mg/ml) > shade dried water based leaf extract (1.807 mg/ml) > Sun dried water based leaf extract (2.020 mg/ml). The effectiveness of the shade dried leaf extracts was shown higher than the sun dried leaf extracts under comparable condition. No mortality was observed in control treatment. The study revealed that these leaf extracts have the potency to consider as an effective larvicidal agent. It is an alternative source for developing a novel larvicide for controlling the mosquito species.

Introduction

Mosquito spreads various vector borne diseases, such as malaria which remains an important cause of morbidity and mortality, particularly in the Chittagong Hill tracts and in the border belt areas⁽¹⁾. Lymphatic filariasis continues to be a problem, despite multiple rounds of mass drug administration⁽²⁾. Dengue, Japanese encephalitis and Chikungunya

*Author for correspondence: <humreza@yahoo.com>.

viruses are also transmitted by mosquitoes in Bangladesh⁽³⁾. There are 123 species of Culicidae found in Bangladesh, among them 33 species belong to the genus *Culex*⁽⁴⁾. The predominant mosquito species in Dhaka city is *Cx. quinquefasciatus*⁽⁵⁾.

Plants are considered as largely complicated chemical factories which can turn the relatively simple ingredients of air and water into so many compounds including liquids and oils⁽⁶⁾. *Eucalyptus camaldulensis*, tree is under the genus *Eucalyptus*. There is a specific compound like essential oil of *Eucalyptus* in the different parts of this genus⁽⁷⁾. It is a complex mixture of mainly terpenoids, particularly monoterpenes (C₁₀) and sesquiterpenes (C₁₅) and various aromatic phenols, oxides, ethers, alcohols, esters, aldehydes and ketones⁽⁸⁻⁹⁾. Among the various components eucalyptus oil, 1, 8 cineole (C₁₀H₁₈O) is the most important one and is largely responsible for a variety of its pesticidal properties⁽¹⁰⁾.

Eucalyptol is a significant monoterpene because of having antimicrobial⁽¹¹⁾ and antifungal effects. Over 2000 plants are known to have phytochemicals that are capable of killing or repelling mosquitoes⁽¹²⁾. *Eucalyptus camaldulensis* is one of those plants that possess some of these phytochemicals and claimed to possess pesticidal and also medicinal activities on various ailments. Various biological properties have been attributed to the genus *Eucalyptus*, among them larvicidal activity on culicids⁽¹³⁾, insecticidal activity against beetles⁽¹⁴⁾, and repellent action against *Phlebotomus papatasi*⁽¹⁵⁾. Essential oil, whole plant extract, aqueous extract, leaf extract, acetone extract, ethanolic extract, methanol extract of different plants were used against mosquitoes as adulticide, larvicide, growth regulator, repellent, anti-popuational and oviposition inhibitor worldwide. The leaf essential oil from *E. camaldulensis* tested against matured and immatured mosquito vector *An. stephensi* showed an excellent inhibitory effect, and 100% mortality was observed at 0.16 mg/ml⁽¹⁶⁾. There were several reports on the repellency of *Eucalyptus* plants against mosquitoes⁽¹⁷⁾. The objective of the present study was to evaluate the larvicidal and residual effects of the crude leaf extracts of *E. camaldulensis* against the 3rd instar larvae of *Cx. quinquefasciatus* mosquito with a view to assuring the safety of plant-based insecticides as well as promising new developments in the field.

Materials and Methods

The entire research work that included the collection of the larvae of *Cx. quinquefasciatus*, rearing of mosquito *Cx. quinquefasciatus*, collection of plant (*E. camaldulensis*) parts, extraction procedure, dose preparation, bioassay test and statistical analysis were conducted at the Zoological Garden of Dhaka University, Entomological Research Laboratory of the Department of Zoology of the University of Dhaka and the Center for Advanced Research in Sciences (CARS), University of Dhaka. This research work was conducted from March, 2016 to July, 2017.

Preparation of plant extracts: To prepare plant extract, the leaves of the *E. camaldulensis* were collected from Shahidullah Hall premises, Curzon Hall in Dhaka University. The leaves of *E. camaldulensis* were collected in fresh condition, then all the leaves were divided into two portions one was of sun dried and the other one was of shade dried. The leaf samples were subjected to grind with the help of an electric grinder machine to make the leaves into powdered form. The powder was stored in an air tight container for subjecting to the next step of experiments.

Ten conical flasks (500 ml) were taken, of which five were considered for sun dried sample preparation and the rest five for shade dried. These conical flasks were initially washed with distilled water for the preparation of the sample solutions. Fifty grams of each of the sun or shade dried leaf powders were measured with a weight machine and mixed with 300 ml of 98% ethanol, 99% chloroform, 99% acetone, 99.8% di-chloroform or water separately in different conical flasks. At first the powder and any of the solvents were mixed by hand shaking and then kept for 24 hrs with periodic shaking in an orbital shaker machine (R 100 LUCKHAM) at 100 rpm and 32°C temperature. The mouth of the conical flasks was wrapped with aluminum foil paper for avoiding contamination of the environment. After 24 hrs shaking, the solutions were filtered by funnel through cotton filtration. The filtrates were collected in another conical flask. This procedure was repeated for three times by adding fresh volume of respective solvents each time. The total volume of the sample was concentrated separately in a rotary evaporator machine. For organic extraction, all of the solutions were evaporated at 80 rpm and 50°C temperature and for aqueous solution evaporation temperature was 60°C. After evaporation the samples were found in dried or pest condition which were collected with the help of a spatula and then stored in an air tight jar at 4°C in a refrigerator for further uses. These extracts were used for dose preparation.

Mosquito rearing: The mosquito larvae of *Cx. quinquefasciatus* (Say) were collected from their natural breeding habitats near the Dhaka University campus. The larvae along with the drain water were brought to the laboratory. The identification of the larvae of *Cx. quinquefasciatus* was confirmed following the standard method⁽¹⁸⁾. The temperature and relative humidity of the ambient environment of the laboratory during larval rearing process were maintained at 34 ± 2°C and 75 - 85%, respectively. The larvae were reared in a Petri dish containing tap water. They were provided routinely with baby food powder (Cerelac, Nestle, Bangladesh Ltd.) and yeast powder as their food up to pupation stage. The pupae were separated from the larvae which were then kept into an adult rearing cage for the emergence of adult mosquito. The adult rearing cage was constructed with a thin iron rod frame (size: 30 × 30 × 30 cm). The iron frame was covered with a piece of mosquito net except an opening at one side fixed with a long sleeve of mosquito net through which necessary equipment were taken in and out except adult mosquitoes. This sleeve was tied when it was not in use. The basal part of the cage was made up of a

wooden plate. Adult mosquitoes were emerged within two to three days of pupation. The adults were provided with 10% glucose solution as their food. The glucose solution soaked in a cotton ball was placed in a Petri dish inside the rearing cage. The male mosquito only feed on glucose solution throughout their life time, but in case of female mosquito they took glucose solution for the first two to three days of emergence then they required blood meal as it is essential for their egg development. The source of blood meal in the laboratory condition was a pigeon, *Columba livia*. The pigeon was kept inside the rearing cage for two or three hours during of which the female mosquitoes sucked blood. A well fed female abdomen was swollen and became red in color which gradually turned into dark red. When the eggs started developing the female mosquito became greyish in color. At this stage the female mosquito is called gravid female. In a few days when its blood meal was fully digested its abdomen became dark black in color. A jar containing tap water kept inside the rearing cage for oviposition of the gravid female mosquito. Oviposition took place within three days after blood meal. Immediately after laying, boat shaped off white color egg rafts were floating on the surface of the water which turned chocolate color with the time passed by. Each egg raft contained 80 - 120 eggs. The eggs hatched into 1st instar larvae within two days. Gradually the 1st instars larvae moulted into 2nd, 3rd and 4th instar larvae. These larvae were identified with a magnifying lens. The larvae were fed continuously and the late 4th instar larvae gradually moulted into pupae and then adult form. Thus the rearing procedure was continued throughout the experimental time and several generations of mosquito populations were established which provided a continuous supply of 3rd instar larvae as test larvae.

Dose preparation: As the organic solvents based leaf extracts were insoluble in water, di-methyl sulfoxide (DMSO) was used to make the concentrated solvent water soluble⁽¹⁹⁾. Thus, a series of different concentrations, such as 25, 50, 75, 100 and 125 mg of extracts per 100 ml of distilled water each were prepared with chloroform and di-chloromethane organic extracts. On the other hand 50, 100, 150, 200 and 250 mg of extracts per 100 ml of water were prepared with acetone or ethanol based organic extracts. For aqueous extracts 100, 150, 200, 250 and 300 mg doses were prepared. At each dose 2 ml DMSO was added to make the organic solvent based extracts water soluble. The concentration of the respective doses of chloroform and di-chloromethane based organic extracts were 0.25, 0.5, 0.75, 1.0 and 1.25 mg/ml. The concentrations of the respective doses of acetone and ethanol based organic extracts were 0.5, 1.0, 1.5, 2 and 2.5 mg/ml. In case of aqueous extracts the concentration of the respective doses was 1.0, 1.5, 2.0, 2.5 and 3.0 mg/ml. The prepared doses were applied against the 3rd instars larvae of *Cx. quinquefasciatus* for bioassay test.

Bioassay test: In this experiment a larvicidal bioassay method was followed with slight modifications⁽²⁰⁾. For bioassay studies, 50 larvae of 3rd instar were introduced into

250 ml of glass beaker containing 100 ml of tap water and various concentrations of the extract preparations were added according to above description. The flasks were kept at room temperature ($32 \pm 2^\circ\text{C}$), $80 \pm 5\%$ of relative humidity and 13L: 11D (photoperiod). The death of the larvae was recorded after 24 hours of exposure and the moribund larvae were counted as dead. A set of control using 2.0% DMSO as control 1 and an untreated set of larvae containing tap water were also run for the observation of larval death in case of DMSO and tap water. These two sets of control were run for correcting the mortality. The toxicity of the extracts was calculated as LC_{50} and LC_{90} values representing 50 and 90% of the test larvae died, respectively. Both LC_{50} and LC_{90} values were calculated for 24 hours of exposure.

The number of the larvae died at each dose concentration was recorded and the mortality percentage values were calculated by using the formula:

$$\text{Percentage of mortality} = \frac{\text{No. of larvae died}}{\text{No. of tested larvae}} \times 100$$

When the mortality in control was more than 5%, the percentage mortality was corrected by using Abbott's (1925) formula:

$$\text{Corrected mortality} = \frac{\text{Larval mortality in treatment} - \text{Larval mortality in control}}{100 - \text{Control mortality}} \times 100$$

Statistical analysis: Larval death rate was observed and corrected mortality was obtained by applying Abbott's formula⁽²¹⁾. Fifty per cent lethal concentration (LC_{50}) and 90% lethal concentration (LC_{90}) and at 95% confidence intervals of lower and upper confidence limits were determined by parameter estimation. Other statistical analysis like One-way ANOVA and Post Hoc Test (Bonferroni) for multiple comparison between different dose concentrations were calculated by using IBM SPSS statistics 20 (Statistical Package of Social Science) software; here significance level was set at $p < 0.05$.

Results and Discussion

The present study of the plant extracts from *Eucalyptus camaldulensis* demonstrates the presence of larvicidal toxic potentiality of *E. camaldulensis*. Table 1 represented the mean mortality rate of the 3rd instar larvae of *Cx. quinquefasciatus* exposed to 24 hrs at different doses of sun and shade dried chloroform or di-chloromethane based leaf extracts of *E. camaldulensis*. Here, 100% of larval mortality was found at 1.25 mg/ml dose concentration of shade dried chloroform based leaf extract. Whereas, at the same dose concentration, both chloroform sun and di-chloromethane shade extracts showed almost equal percentage of mortality 93.33 and 94.67, respectively. Comparing the effects of other extracts showed lesser activity.

Table 1. Mean mortality rate of the 3rd instar (50) larvae of *Cx. quinquefasciatus* at different doses of sun and shade dried chloroform and di-chloromethane based leaf extracts of *E. camaldulensis*. Values are mean of three replicates.

| Extracts | Larval mortality at different dose concentrations (Mean% ± Sd) | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|--|---------------|---------------|---------------|----------------|
| | 0.25 mg/ml | 0.50 mg/ml | 0.75 mg/ml | 1.0 mg/ml | 1.25 mg/ml |
| Chloroform (Sun dried) | 37.33 ± 22.89 | 52.0 ± 31.89 | 66.67 ± 40.39 | 86.00 ± 52.69 | 93.33 ± 57.15 |
| Chloroform (Shade dried) | 42.67 ± 26.18 | 56.67 ± 34.71 | 68.00 ± 41.73 | 92.67 ± 56.76 | 100.00 ± 61.23 |
| Di-chloromethane (Sun dried) | 28.67 ± 17.59 | 42.0 ± 25.74 | 51.33 ± 31.47 | 62.67 ± 38.39 | 84.0 ± 51.48 |
| Di-chloromethane (Shade dried) | 37.33 ± 22.91 | 46.67 ± 28.66 | 70.0 ± 42.88 | 84.0 ± 51.45 | 94.67 ± 59.24 |

Mean mortality rate of the 3rd instar larvae of *Cx. quinquefasciatus* exposed to 24 hrs at different doses of sun and shade dried ethanol and acetone based leaf extracts of *E. camaldulensis* were represented in Table 2. At 2.5 mg/ml dose concentration of ethanol based shade dried leaf extract, 100% of the tested larvae were died where at the same dose of sun dried extracts 95.33% of the larvae were killed. Other extract preparations and doses showed less effectivity against the larvae.

Table 2. Mean mortality rate of the 3rd instar (50) larvae of *Cx. quinquefasciatus* at different doses of sun and shade dried ethanol and acetone based leaf extracts of *E. camaldulensis*. Values are mean of three replicates.

| Extracts | Larval mortality at different dose concentrations (Mean% ± Sd) | | | | |
|--------------------------|--|---------------|---------------|---------------|----------------|
| | 0.5 mg/ml | 1.0 mg/ml | 1.5 mg/ml | 2.0 mg/ml | 2.5 mg/ml |
| Ethanol (Sun dried) | 26.67 ± 16.41 | 62.67 ± 38.41 | 75.33 ± 46.13 | 84.0 ± 51.45 | 95.33 ± 58.38 |
| Ethanol (Shade dried) | 29.33 ± 17.97 | 70.67 ± 43.28 | 78.67 ± 48.19 | 90.00 ± 55.12 | 100.00 ± 61.23 |
| Acetone Sun dried) | 21.33 ± 13.11 | 41.33 ± 25.31 | 54.00 ± 33.08 | 64.00 ± 39.20 | 78.00 ± 47.78 |
| Acetone (Shade dried) | 25.33 ± 15.52 | 48.00 ± 29.41 | 64.00 ± 39.28 | 77.33 ± 47.36 | 84.00 ± 51.44 |

Table 3 depicted the mean mortality rate of the 3rd instar larvae of *Cx. quinquefasciatus* exposed to 24 hrs on the basis of different doses of sun and shade dried aqueous leaf extracts of *E. camaldulensis*. Here, the highest mortality (74.00 and 84.67%)

and the lowest mortality (21.33 and 24.67%) were found at 3.0 mg/ml and 1.0 mg/ml dose concentration, respectively.

Table 3. Mean mortality rate of the 3rd instar (50) larvae of *Cx. quinquefasciatus* at different doses of sun and shade dried water based leaf extracts of *E. camaldulensis*. Values are mean of three replicates.

| Extracts | Larval mortality at different dose concentrations (Mean% \pm Sd) | | | | |
|------------------------|--|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| | 1.0 mg/ml | 1.5 mg/ml | 2.0 mg/ml | 2.5 mg/ml | 3.0 mg/ml |
| Water (Sun dried) | 21.33 \pm 13.11 | 30.67 \pm 18.85 | 48.67 \pm 29.81 | 58.00 \pm 35.64 | 74.00 \pm 45.33 |
| Water (Shade dried) | 24.67 \pm 15.17 | 36.67 \pm 22.51 | 49.33 \pm 30.28 | 63.33 \pm 38.90 | 84.67 \pm 51.91 |
| Water + DMSO | 0.0 \pm 0.0 | 0.0 \pm 0.0 | 0.0 \pm 0.0 | 0.0 \pm 0.0 | 0.0 \pm 0.0 |

In this experiment, the effect of various extracts was studied in a dose dependent manner. It was shown that when dose concentrations were increased, larval mortality were also increased in the same manner. The larvicidal efficacy of the crude leaf extracts of *E. camaldulensis* against 3rd instar larvae of *Cx. quinquefasciatus* was shown in Table 4. All the extracts showed higher larvicidal efficacy against the *Culex* larvae. Among all the extracts, the shade dried chloroform based leaf extracts showed the highest (LC₅₀ 0.356 mg/ml) larvicidal efficacy at low 1.25 mg/ml dose concentration and the sun dried water based leaf extracts showed the lowest (LC₅₀ 2.020 mg/ml) larvicidal efficacy at high 3.0 mg/ml dose concentration. The relative potency of ten types of crude leaf extracts of *E. camaldulensis* against the mosquito larvae are shown as follows in decreasing order on the basis of LC₅₀ value: Shade chloroform (0.356 mg/ml) > sun chloroform (0.400 mg/ml) > shade di-chloromethane (0.411 mg/ml) > sun di-chloromethane (0.579 mg/ml) > shade ethanol (0.736 mg/ml) > sun ethanol (0.817 mg/ml) > shade acetone (1.000 mg/ml) > sun acetone (1.251 mg/ml) > shade water (1.807 mg/ml) > sun water (2.020 mg/ml). Here, the effectiveness of the shade dried chloroform based leaf extracts showed highest larvicidal potency because the toxic ingredients present in the leaf powder was highly soluble in chloroform and it is assumed that in the shade dried form the volatile components completely remained in the leaf.

Both seed and leaf extracts of *E. globulus* against *Culex pipiens* display 100 and 80% mortality at 1 mg/ml⁽²²⁾. The larvicidal activities of ethanolic and aqueous crude extracts of *Dracaena loureiri* fruits against the 3rd instar larvae of *Ae. aegypti* after 24 hrs exposure the ethanolic extract of endocarp showed the highest activity with LC₅₀ value of 0.084 mg/ml⁽²³⁾, while in this study ethanolic and aqueous crude extracts *E. camaldulensis* shows

50% mortality with LC₅₀ value of 0.817, 0.736 mg/ml (sun and shade dried leaf) and 2.020, 1.807 mg/ml (sun and shade dried leaf), respectively.

Table 4. Larvicidal efficacy of crude leaf extracts of *E. camaldulensis* against 3rd instar larvae of *Cx. quinquefasciatus*.

| Different solvent based leaf extracts of <i>E. camaldulensis</i> | LC ₅₀ values | | 95% confidence limit for concentrations (mg/ml) | | ANOVA (Significant value) |
|--|-------------------------|------------------|---|----------------------------|---------------------------|
| | LC ₅₀ | LC ₉₀ | LC ₅₀ (LCL-UCL) | LC ₉₀ (LCL-UCL) | |
| Chloroform (Sun dried) | 0.400 | 1.363 | 0.334 - 0.459 | 1.125 - 1.805 | 0.000 |
| Chloroform (Shade dried) | 0.356 | 1.069 | 0.264 - 0.433 | 0.853 - 1.559 | 0.000 |
| Di-chloromethane (Sun dried) | 0.579 | 2.694 | 0.512 - 0.648 | 2.074 - 3.958 | 0.000 |
| Di-chloromethane (Shade dried) | 0.411 | 1.346 | 0.341 - 0.473 | 1.105 - 1.805 | 0.000 |
| Ethanol (Sun dried) | 0.817 | 2.247 | 0.734 - 0.894 | 2.003 - 2.596 | 0.000 |
| Ethanol (Shade dried) | 0.736 | 1.801 | 0.664 - 0.804 | 1.634 - 2.027 | 0.000 |
| Acetone(Sun dried) | 1.251 | 5.035 | 1.124 - 1.386 | 3.994 - 7.008 | 0.000 |
| Acetone (Shade dried) | 1.000 | 3.486 | 0.894 - 1.102 | 2.924 - 4.367 | 0.000 |
| Water (Sun dried) | 2.020 | 5.432 | 1.879 - 2.182 | 4.521 - 7.095 | 0.000 |
| Water (Shade dried) | 1.807 | 4.467 | 1.686 - 1.932 | 3.858 - 5.484 | 0.000 |

In another study, showed the larvicidal activity of the chloroform extracts of the seeds of *Argemone maxicana* against *Cx. quinquefasciatus* after 24 hrs exposure the LC₅₀ value was 0.72 mg/ml⁽²⁴⁾ but in present study, the chloroform extracts of the leaves of *E. camaldulensis* against the same species of the mosquito and same exposure time the LC₅₀ value was 0.400 and 0.356 mg/ml (sun and shade dried leaf, respectively).

In comparison of the results of the earlier experiments, it is found that the results of the present study is quite different, many reasons are responsible behind this such as the difference may be due to the different geographical condition, different plant parts, different stages of the larvae, differences in tested organism, different exposure time, temperature and humidity difference, solvents solubilize by extracts etc.

After considering the above condition, it can be concluded that the leaf extracts of *E. camaldulensis* has been found to be an excellent larvicidal efficacy against the 3rd instar larvae of *Cx. quinquefasciatus*. Various synthetic insecticides which are used to control mosquito have harmful effect on human health. In addition, nowadays mosquitoes are developing resistance to these chemicals⁽²⁵⁾. Present findings suggested that the use of *E.*

camaldulensis leaf extracts may be a highly effective approach for mosquito management program as an alternative method for replacement of some chemical compounds on the environment. It may be cheaper, biodegradable and can be used easily without facing hazardous effects. It is expected that the active component which have insecticidal properties in the leaf of *E. camaldulensis* can be isolated and used as insecticide against the larvae of *Cx. quinquefasciatus* mosquito.

Acknowledgements

The authors gratefully acknowledge the supports provided by the Department of Zoology, University of Dhaka.

References

1. Haque U, HJ Overgaard, AC Clements, DE Norris, N Islam and J Karim 2014. Malaria burden and control in Bangladesh and prospects for elimination: an epidemiological and economic assessment. *Lancet Glob Health* **2**: 98-105.
2. Hafiz I, P Graves, R Haq, MS Flora and HL Kelly 2015. Clinical case estimates of lymphatic filariasis in an endemic district of Bangladesh after a decade of mass drug administration. *T Roy Soc Trop Med Hyg.* **109**: 700-709.
3. World Health Organization 2014. Bibliography of scientific publications on vector-borne diseases from WHO south-east Asia region 2009–2013. New Delhi: World Health Organization.
4. Irish SR, MA Hasan, SA Mohammad and EH Ralph 2016. A review of the mosquito species (Diptera:Culicidae) of Bangladesh. *Parasites & Vectors* 559.
5. Khan HR, M Mujahid, T Akter, MR Karim and MS Farid 2014. Diversity of the mosquito and their seasonal fluctuation in two wards of Dhaka city. *Dhaka Univ. J. Biol. Sci.* **23**(1): 17-26.
6. Mabey, R 1997. *Plant with a purpose*, 2nd edition, New Hollywood California, pp. 53-60.
7. Maciel MV, SM Morais, CML Bevilaqua, RA Silva, RS Barros, RN Sousa, LC Sousa, ES Brito and MA Souza-Neto 2010. Chemical composition of *Eucalyptus* spp. essential oils and their insecticidal effects on *Lutzomyia longipalpis*. *Veterinary Parasitology* **167**: 1-7.
8. Ulukanli Z, M Cenet, B Ozturk and SC Demirci 2015. Phytotoxic antimicrobial and insecticidal activities of *Vitex agnus-castus*'essential oil from East Mediterranean Region. *Journal of Essential Oil-Bearing Plants* **18**(6): 1500-1507.
9. Kocak B and C Darici 2016. Priming effects of leaves of *Laurus nobilis* L. and 1, 8-cineole on carbon mineralization. *Chilean Journal of Agricultural Research* **76**: 100-104.
10. Duke JA 2004. Dr. Duke's Phytochemical and Ethnobotanical databases. Available from: <http://www.ars-grin.gov/duke>.
11. Van VSF and AM Viljoen 2007. Antimicrobial activity of limonene enantiomers and 1, 8-cineole alone and in combination. *Flavour and Fragrance Journal* **22**: 540-544.
12. Anupam G, C Nandita and C Goutan 2011. Review article, Plant extracts as a potential mosquito larvicides. *Indian Journal of Medical Research* **135**: 581-598.

13. Cheng SS, CG Huang, YJ Chen, JJ Yu, WJ Chen and ST Chang 2009. Chemical compositions and larvicidal activities of leaf essential oils from two *Eucalyptus* species. *Bioresour. Technol.* **100**: 452-456.
14. Brito JP, JEM Oliveira and SA Bortoli 2006. Toxicidade de óleos essenciais de *Eucalyptus* spp. sobre *Callosobruchus maculatus* (Fabr., 1775) (Coleoptera: Bruchidae). *Rev. Biol. Sci. da Terra.* **6**: 96-103.
15. Yaghoobi-Ershadi MR, AA Akhavan, E Jahanifard, H Vantandoost, GH Amin, L Moosavi, ARZ Ramazani, H Abdoli and MH Arandian 2006. Repellency effect of Myrtle essential oil and DEET against *Phlebotomus papatasi*, under laboratory conditions. *Iran. J. Publ. Health* **35**: 7-13.
16. Nathan SS. 2007. The use of *Eucalyptus tereticornis* Sm. (Myrtaceae) oil (leaf extract) as a natural larvicidal agent against the malaria vector *Anopheles stephensi* Liston (Diptera: Culicidae). *Bioresour. Tec.* **98** (9):1856-60.
17. Trigg JK. 1996. Valuation of a *Eucalyptus*-based repellent against *Anopheles* spp. in Tanzania. *J Am. Mosq. Control Assoc.* **12**: 243-6.
18. Bram AR 1967. Contributions to the mosquito fauna to the Southeast Asia-11. The genus *Culex* in Thailand (Diptera : Culicidae). *Am. Ent. Inst.* **2**(1): 192-201.
19. Nour AH¹, J Sandanasamy and AH²Nour 2012. Larvicidal activity of extracts from different parts of neem (*Azadirachta indica*) against the mosquito larvae of *Aedes aegypti*. *Scientific Research and Essays* **7**(31): 2810-2815.
20. Dua VK, AC Pandey, K Raghavendra, A Gupta, T Sharma and AP Dash 2009. Larvicidal activity of neem oil (*Azadirachta indica*) formulations against mosquitoes. *Malaria J.* **8**(1): 124.
21. Abbott WS 1925. A method of computing the effectiveness of insecticides. *J. Econ. Entomol.* **18**(2): 267-269.
22. Elbana SM 2006. Larvicidal effects of *Eucalyptus* extract on the larvae of *Culex pipiens* mosquito. *Int. J. Agri. Biol.* **8**(6): 896.
23. Thongwat D, L Supaporn, P Urat and B Nophawan 2017. Larvicidal activity of endocarp and seed crude extracts of *Dracaena loureiri* Gagnep against *Aedes aegypti* (L.) mosquito. *Asian Pac. J. Trop. Biomed.* **7**(3): 222-226.
24. Ali H, I Sadequl, S Shabnam, BR Shahina, N Meherum and I Nurul 2017. Lethal action of *Argemone mexicana* L. extracts against *Culex quinquefasciatus* (Say) larvae and *Tribolium castaneum* (Hbst.) adults. *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry* **6**(1): 438-441.
25. World Health Organization 1992. Vector resistance to pesticides. Fifteenth report of the WHO expert committee on vector biology and control. WHO. Tech .Rep. Ser. **62**: 818.

(Manuscript received on 7 February, 2018; revised on 15 April, 2018)