

A General Method of Constructing Layout with Single Factorial Effect Confounded in p^n Factorial Experiments

Md. Kamrul Hasan Khan and M A Jalil*

Department of Statistics, Biostatistics and Informatics, Dhaka University, Dhaka – 1000, Bangladesh

(Received: 10 June 2013; Accepted: 3 November 2013)

Abstract

A general method of constructing layout with single factorial effect confounded in p^n factorial experiments is proposed. It becomes easier to construct the design of confounding a single factor in a p^n factorial experiment, especially when the number of factors as well as the number of levels becomes larger.

Keywords: Adjustment Factor, Adjustment Vector, Confounding.

I. Introduction

When the number of factors as well as the number of levels of each factor is large, it becomes difficult to make homogeneous plots in practice. In such situations, we are bound to use a limited number of homogeneous plots to analyze the factorial effects. As a result, some factorial effects or interactions will be mixed up with block effect, i.e. confounding.

Bose and Kishan¹, Bose² described the construction of p^n factorial design using finite geometries. The treatments are represented by n -tuples (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) where a_i are elements of $GF(p)$. The method is available only when p is prime or prime power. Fisher³ discussed a method to develop the connection of the subject with that of Abelian groups, to prove a general proposition connecting the minimal size of block required with the number of factors involved, and to supply a catalogue of systems of confounding available up to fifteen factors. A system of simultaneous confounding in 2^n factorial experiment has been described, where an intrablock subgroup is constructed with the common elements taken from the factorial effects of two incomplete blocks, each confounded with a single factorial effect^{4, 5}. Das⁶ described an equivalent method of Bose² in which some of the treatment factors are designated as basic factors and the others as added factors. Levels of added factors are derived by combination of levels of the basic factors over $GF(p)$. S. C. Cotter⁷ proposed a general method of confounding for symmetrical factorial experiments. This paper considered the problem of conducting a p^n factorial experiment in blocks size p^1 . These designs can be constructed for all values of p , although for certain values better confounding patterns are available. Also analysis of these designs was given, showing which components of the sum of squares were confounded with blocks. John and Dean⁸ described the construction of a particular class of single replicate block designs, which they call generalized cyclic designs. The essential feature of the method is that the n -tuples giving the treatments of a particular block constitute an Abelian group, the intrablock subgroup. Patterson⁹ described a general computer algorithm, called DSIGN, in which levels of treatment factors are derived by linear combinations of levels of plot and block factors. The method provides finite-field, generalized cyclic and other designs. Mallick, S. A.^{10, 11}

developed two systems of designing factorial effects with simultaneous confounding of two effects, one for 3^n and other for 4^n - factorial experiments. In these systems of simultaneous confounding, the combination of levels was based on some manipulating manner. Jalil, *et. al.*¹² developed a matrix method of designing a single factorial effect confounded in a p^n - factorial experiment, where the level combinations are obtained by matrix operations of the levels. This method is applicable only when p is prime. In this article, we make moderation in taking adjustment factor using which we can construct a plan for a factorial effect to be confounded in a p^n factorial experiment, where p is prime or non prime.

II. Method

Consider a matrix M of order $p^{n-1} \times np$, which represents the construction method of a p^n f.e. confounded with a factorial effects as $M = [M_0 M_1 \dots \dots M_{p-1}]_{p^{n-1} \times np}$ (1)

where, $M_u; u = 0, 1, \dots \dots, (p - 1)$ rerepresent incomplete block defined as,

$$M_u = [V_1\{p^0\}, V_2\{p^1\}, \dots \dots, V_{n-1}\{p^{n-2}\}, a_u]_{p^{n-1} \times n}$$

with

$$V_i\{p^{i-1}\} = p^{i-1} [0I_{p^{(n-1)-i}}, 1I_{p^{(n-1)-i}}, \dots \dots, (p - 1)/pn - 1 - i']$$

each is a column vector of dimension p^{n-1} .

$\{p^{i-1}\} = p^{i-1}$ times repetitions of the elements of V_i 's in ascending ordered levels.

$$i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, (n - 1);$$

I_m : sum vector of dimension m ; and

$a_u = [a_{u1}, a_{u2}, \dots, a_{up^{n-1}}]$ is called the adjustment vector.

At $u = 0$, the adjustment vector a_0 is called the key vector and resulting incomplete block represented by this key vector is called the key incomplete block. The key vector a_0 determines other adjustment vectors a_u and hence the incomplete blocks M_u , for all $u > 0$. The elements of the key vector can be obtained by solving the symbolic equation such that $\sum_i a_i F_i + a_k F_k = 0 \pmod p$ where F_k represents the adjustment factor.

* Author for correspondence. e-mail: majalil@du.ac.bd

$$M_0 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 2 & 2 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 2 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 2 & 2 \\ 1 & 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 2 & 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 2 & 2 & 1 \\ 2 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 2 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 2 & 0 & 2 & 2 \\ 2 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 & 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 2 & 2 & 0 & 2 \\ 2 & 2 & 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 2 & 2 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, M_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 2 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 & 2 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 0 & 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 2 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 2 & 0 \\ 1 & 2 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 2 & 2 & 2 \\ 2 & 0 & 0 & 2 \\ 2 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 2 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 & 2 & 2 \\ 2 & 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 2 & 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 2 & 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } M_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & 2 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 2 & 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 2 & 2 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 0 & 2 \\ 1 & 2 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 2 & 0 \\ 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 0 & 2 & 1 \\ 2 & 1 & 0 & 2 \\ 2 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 1 & 2 & 0 \\ 2 & 2 & 0 & 1 \\ 2 & 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

So, the desired plan is $M =$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 2 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 2 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 0 & 2 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 2 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 2 & 2 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 2 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 0 & 2 \\ 1 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 2 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 0 \\ 2 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 0 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 2 & 1 \\ 2 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 0 & 2 \\ 2 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 2 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 0 \\ 2 & 2 & 0 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 2 & 0 & 1 \\ 2 & 2 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 2 & 2 & 2 & 0 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

Let us consider the factorial effect $F_1F_2F_3^2$ is to be confounded in a 4^3 factorial experiment.

Here, $n = 3$; F_1, F_2 and F_3 ;

$p = 4$; 0, 1, 2 and 3

From the equation, $M = [M_0 M_1 \dots M_{p-1}]_{p^{n-1} \times np}$, we

have in this case,

$$M = [M_0 M_1 M_2 M_3]_{4^{3-1} \times 3 \times 4}$$

$$= [M_0 M_1 M_2 M_3]_{16 \times 12};$$

where,

$$M_u = [V_1\{p^0\}, V_2\{p^1\}, \dots, V_{n-1}\{p^{n-2}\}, a_u]_{p^{n-1} \times n}$$

with

$$V_i\{p^{i-1}\} = p^{i-1} [0I_{p^{(n-1)-i}}, 1I_{p^{(n-1)-i}}, \dots, (p-1)I_{p^{n-1-i}}],$$

each is a column vector of dimension p^{n-1} . Therefore, we have,

$$\begin{aligned} M_0 &= [V_1\{4^0\}, V_2\{4^1\}, a_0]_{4^2 \times 3}; \\ M_1 &= [V_1\{4^0\}, V_2\{4^1\}, a_1]_{4^2 \times 3}; \\ M_2 &= [V_1\{4^0\}, V_2\{4^1\}, a_2]_{4^2 \times 3}; \\ M_3 &= [V_1\{4^0\}, V_2\{4^1\}, a_3]_{4^2 \times 3}; \end{aligned}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} V_1\{4^0\} &= 1[0I_4, 1I_4, 2I_4, 3I_4]_{16 \times 1}, \\ V_2\{4^1\} &= 4[0I_1, 1I_1, 2I_1, 3I_1]_{16 \times 1}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$V_1\{4^0\} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}, \quad V_2\{4^1\} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

Now a_u is column vector of dimension 16, i.e.

$$a_u = [a_{u1}, a_{u2}, \dots, a_{u16}]$$

So, to get the elements of the key adjustment vector, a_0 , we have to solve the following equation

$$x_1 + x_2 + 2x_3 = 0 \pmod{4}$$

Here we consider F_3 as adjustment factor. Thus we get,

$$0 + 0 + 2x_3 = 0 \pmod{4} \Rightarrow a_{01} = 0$$

$$0 + 1 + 2x_3 = 0 \pmod{4}$$

We cannot calculate a_{02} . Thus we cannot calculate the key adjustment vector, a_0 .

The reason is discussed in the next section.

V. Reason

We know that if we multiply an even number by any natural number the result will be an even number. For this reason if we take adjustment factor, F_k , whose exponent is an even number, we cannot calculate the key adjustment vector a_0 . So we have to take adjustment factor F_k whose exponent is odd number.

VI. Moderation

In this method, proposed by Jalil *et. al.*¹², we have to take that factor as adjustment factor whose exponent is odd. If there is more than one factor whose exponents are odd, then any one can be considered as adjustment factor. After moderation, this method can be applied in p^n factorial experiments, where p is prime or non prime.

VII. Illustration II

Construct a confounding plan where the confounded effect is $F_1F_2F_3^2$ in a 4^3 factorial experiment.

Solution: Here, $n = 3$; F_1, F_2 and F_3 ;
 $p = 4$; $0, 1, 2$ and 3

From the equation, $M = [M_0 M_1 \dots M_{p-1}]_{p^{n-1} \times np}$, we have in this case,

$$\begin{aligned} M &= [M_0 M_1 M_2 M_3]_{4^{3-1} \times 3 \times 4} \\ &= [M_0 M_1 M_2 M_3]_{16 \times 12}; \end{aligned}$$

where,

$$M_u = [V_1\{p^0\}, V_2\{p^1\}, \dots, V_{n-1}\{p^{n-2}\}, a_u]_{p^{n-1} \times n}$$

with

$$V_i\{p^{i-1}\} = p^{i-1} [0I_{p^{(n-1)-i}}, 1I_{p^{(n-1)-i}}, \dots, (p-1)I_{p^{n-1-i}}],$$

each is a column vector of dimension p^{n-1} . Therefore, we have,

$$\begin{aligned} M_0 &= [V_1\{4^0\}, V_2\{4^1\}, a_0]_{4^2 \times 3}; \\ M_1 &= [V_1\{4^0\}, V_2\{4^1\}, a_1]_{4^2 \times 3}; \\ M_2 &= [V_1\{4^0\}, V_2\{4^1\}, a_2]_{4^2 \times 3}; \\ M_3 &= [V_1\{4^0\}, V_2\{4^1\}, a_3]_{4^2 \times 3} \end{aligned}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} V_1\{4^0\} &= 1[0I_4, 1I_4, 2I_4, 3I_4]_{16 \times 1}, \\ V_2\{4^1\} &= 4[0I_1, 1I_1, 2I_1, 3I_1]_{16 \times 1}. \end{aligned}$$

Now the elements of the adjustment vector are obtained from the equation $\sum_i a_i F_i + a_k F_k = 0 \pmod{p}$ where F_k represents the adjustment factor. Since the exponents of both F_1 and F_2 are odd, anyone can be considered as adjustment factor. Here F_1 is considered as adjustment factor. Now, we have

$$V_1\{4^0\} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}, \quad V_2\{4^1\} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and hence, } a_0 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 2 \\ 0 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 1 \\ 3 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 3 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

[Solving $\sum_i a_i F_i + a_k F_k = 0 \pmod{p}$].

a_1, a_2 and a_3 can be obtained by solving $a_{uk} = a_{0k} + u$ where $k = 1, 2, \dots, p^{n-1}$;

Therefore,

$$M_0 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \\ 2 & 0 & 3 \\ 3 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 3 & 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 1 & 3 \\ 2 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 1 \\ 2 & 2 & 2 \\ 0 & 2 & 3 \\ 1 & 3 & 0 \\ 3 & 3 & 1 \\ 1 & 3 & 2 \\ 3 & 3 & 3 \end{bmatrix}, \quad M_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 3 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 2 \\ 3 & 0 & 3 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 1 & 3 \\ 3 & 2 & 0 \\ 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 3 & 2 & 2 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 2 & 3 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 & 1 \\ 2 & 3 & 2 \\ 0 & 3 & 3 \end{bmatrix}, \quad M_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 2 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 3 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 1 & 3 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 2 & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 & 2 \\ 2 & 2 & 3 \\ 3 & 3 & 0 \\ 1 & 3 & 1 \\ 3 & 3 & 2 \\ 1 & 3 & 3 \end{bmatrix}, \quad M_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 3 & 0 & 2 \\ 1 & 0 & 3 \\ 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & 3 \\ 1 & 2 & 0 \\ 3 & 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 2 \\ 3 & 2 & 3 \\ 0 & 3 & 0 \\ 2 & 3 & 1 \\ 0 & 3 & 2 \\ 2 & 3 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

So, the desired plan is

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 3 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 0 & 1 & 3 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & 1 & 0 & 2 & 2 & 0 & 2 & 3 & 0 & 2 \\ 2 & 0 & 3 & 3 & 0 & 3 & 0 & 0 & 3 & 1 & 0 & 3 \\ 3 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 1 & 3 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 3 & 1 & 2 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 1 & 3 & 2 & 1 & 3 & 3 & 1 & 3 & 0 & 1 & 3 \\ 2 & 2 & 0 & 3 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 1 & 3 & 2 & 1 \\ 2 & 2 & 2 & 3 & 2 & 2 & 0 & 2 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 2 \\ 0 & 2 & 3 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 2 & 2 & 3 & 3 & 2 & 3 \\ 1 & 3 & 0 & 2 & 3 & 0 & 3 & 3 & 0 & 0 & 3 & 0 \\ 3 & 3 & 1 & 0 & 3 & 1 & 1 & 3 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 1 \\ 1 & 3 & 2 & 2 & 3 & 2 & 3 & 3 & 2 & 0 & 3 & 2 \\ 3 & 3 & 3 & 0 & 3 & 3 & 1 & 3 & 3 & 2 & 3 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

VIII. Conclusion

The method proposed by Jalil *et. al.*¹² worked only when p is prime in p^n factorial experiments. In this article, we have made modification in taking adjustment factor, using which we can construct confounding plan with single factorial effect in p^n factorial experiments. The method is appropriate in general for any value of n , the number of factors and for any possible value of p , the levels of the factors. However, this method is restricted to p^n symmetrical factorial experiments.

References

1. Bose, R. C. and K. Kishan, 1940. On The Problem of Confounding in General Symmetrical Factorial Design. *Sankhyā*, 5, 21-36.
2. Bose, R. C., 1947. Mathematical Theory of The Symmetrical Factorial Design. *Sankhyā*, 8, 107-166.
3. Fisher, R. A., 1942. The Theory of Confounding in Factorial Experiments in Relation to The Theory of Groups. *Ann. Eug.*, **XI, Part IV**, 341-353.
4. Kempthorne, O., 1947. A Simple Approach to Confounding and Fractional Replication in Factorial Experiments. *Biometrika*, 34, 255-272.

5. Kempthorne, O., 1952. The design and Analysis of Experiments. *John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York*.
6. Das, M. N., 1964. A Somewhat Alternative Approach for Construction of Symmetrical Factorial Designs and Obtaining Maximum Numbers of Factors. *Calcutta Statistical Association Bulletin*, 13, 1-17.
7. Cotter, S. C., 1974. A General Method of Confounding for Symmetrical Factorial Experiments. *JRSS*, **36**, 267-276.
8. Dean, A. M. and J. A. John, 1975. Single Replicate Factorial Experiments in Generalized Cyclic Design: Symmetrical Arrangements. *JRSS*, **B 37**, 63-71.
9. Patterson, H. D., 1976. Generation of Factorial Designs. *JRSS*, **B 37**, 175-179.
10. Mallick, S. A., 1973. A System of Simultaneous Confounding of Two Effects in A 3^n - Factorial Design. *Dacca University Studies, Pt. B. XXI*, 33-36.
11. Mallick, S. A., 1975. A System of Simultaneous Confounding of Two Effects in A 4^n - Factorial Design. *Dacca University Studies, Pt. B. XXIII (1)*, 7-10.
12. Jalil, M. A., S. A Mallick and M. S. Rahman, 1990. Matrix Method of Designing Layout in p^n - Factorial Experiment. *Dhaka University Studies, B, 38(2)*, 123-128.